

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE                          | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|--------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/621,661   | 07/17/2003                           | Andrew Harvey Barr   | 200308575-1         | 2056             |
| 22879 7590 06/01/2007<br>HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY                             |                                      |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD<br>INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION |                                      |                      | NORRIS, JEREMY C    |                  |
|  | AL PROPERTY ADM<br>IS, CO 80527-2400 | IINISTRATION         | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |                                      |                      | 2841                |                  |
|  |                                      |                      |                     |                  |
|  |                                      |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|  |                                      |                      | 06/01/2007          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|  |   | Application No.         | Applicant(s) |  |  |  |
|--|---|-------------------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary  |   | 10/621,661              | BARR ET AL.  |  |  |  |
|  |   | Examiner                | Art Unit     |  |  |  |
|  |   | Jeremy C. Norris        | 2841         |  |  |  |
|  | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address<br>Period for Reply |                         |              |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |                         |              |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |                         |              |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 M  | arch 2007.              | •            |  |  |  |
| · · · · · ·  |   | action is non-final.    |              |  |  |  |
| 3)□  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is       |                         |              |  |  |  |
|  | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.                             |                         |              |  |  |  |
| Dispositio   | Disposition of Claims   |                         |              |  |  |  |
| 4)🖂  | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 9-37</u> is/are pending in the application.   |                         |              |  |  |  |
|  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |                         |              |  |  |  |
| 5)🖂  | 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 9-36</u> is/are allowed.  |                         |              |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠  | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>37</u> is/are rejected.   |                         |              |  |  |  |
| 7)   | Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |                         |              |  |  |  |
| 8)   | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o   | r election requirement. |              |  |  |  |
| Application  | on Papers   |                         |              |  |  |  |
| 9)□ 1  | he specification is objected to by the Examine  | r.                      |              |  |  |  |
| -  | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc  |                         | Examiner.    |  |  |  |
|  | Applicant may not request that any objection to the   |                         |              |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |   |                         |              |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |   |                         |              |  |  |  |
| Priority u   | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119  |                         |              |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  |   |                         |              |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |   |                         |              |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |   |                         |              |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage  |   |                         |              |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |   |                         |              |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |   |                         |              |  |  |  |
|  | •   |                         |              |  |  |  |
| Attachment   | (s)   |                         |              |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  |   |                         |              |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Notice of Informal Patent Application  |   |                         |              |  |  |  |
| I) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application  6) Other:   |   |                         |              |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/621,661

Art Unit: 2841

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 36 is rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 14 of U.S. Patent No. 7,141,742 (Barr). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 14 of Barr via dependency from claim 8 of Barr claims, a printed circuit board comprising: a conductive layer (a first conductive plane); a via (via signal barrel) transecting the conductive layer (col. 8, lines 11-12); and an anti-pad around the via (first partially voided anti-pad), the anti-pad comprising a pattern of conductive material having a plurality of voids, wherein the pattern comprises a screen

Application/Control Number: 10/621,661

Art Unit: 2841

pattern (col. 8, lines 39-40). The Examiner notes that a "screen pattern" inherently must contain a plurality of voids.

### Allowable Subject Matter

The indicated allowability of claim 37 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Barr. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) Are as stated above.

Claims 1-6 and 9-36 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claim 1 states the limitation "wherein the pattern of conductive material is electrically isolated". This limitation, in conjunction with the other claimed features, was neither found to be disclosed in, nor suggested by the prior art. Claim 17 states the limitation "wherein the pattern of conductive material is electrically isolated". This limitation, in conjunction with the other claimed features, was neither found to be disclosed in, nor suggested by the prior art. Claim 24 states the limitation "wherein the partially voided anti-pad is electrically isolated". This limitation, in conjunction with the other claimed features, was neither found to be disclosed in, nor suggested by the prior art. Claim 35 states the limitation "the pattern of conductive material isolated from the conductive layer". This limitation, in conjunction with the other claimed features, was neither found to be disclosed in, nor suggested by, the prior art. Claim 36 states the limitation "the pattern of conductive material isolated from the conductive layer". This limitation, in conjunction with the other claimed features, was neither found to be disclosed in, nor suggested by, the prior art.

Art Unit: 2841

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 37 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeremy C. Norris whose telephone number is 571-272-1932. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9:30 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on 571-272-1984. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jeremy C. Norris

Patent Examiner - Technology

Center 2800 Art Unit 2841